



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/750,738

12/31/2003

John M. Rice

RiceWedge

1837

27119

7590

05/11/2009

ALBERT W. WATKINS
30844 NE 1ST AVENUE
ST. JOSEPH, MN 56374

EXAMINER

FUQUA, SHAWNTINA T

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3742

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/11/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/750,738	Applicant(s) RICE, JOHN M.	
	Examiner SHAWNTINA FUQUA	Art Unit 3742	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 February 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 31 December 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Prosecution on the merits of this application is reopened for the purpose of entering a new rejection on claims 1-14 considered unpatentable for the reasons indicated below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-3, 6-8, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wall et al (US2871848).

Wall et al discloses a pot (18) with a base (Figure 2) suitable for retaining liquid (20), a warming surface (Figure 2), a rectangular, metal spacer/wedge/means to tilt (10) between one portion of the pot base and warming surface to elevate a majority of pot base above and separate from warming surface while tilting pot base relative to warming surface such that a minority of pot base is in direct contact with the warming surface (Figure 2), spacer/wedge/means to tilt is defined by a thickness generally decreasing with decreasing distance from a center of the pot base (Figures 1, 2). In addition, Wall et al discloses a method comprising the steps of placing a spacer (10) upon a warming surface in a location offset from the center, supporting a minority portion of the pot bottom upon spacer and a second minority portion of pot bottom upon

Art Unit: 3742

warming surface, a majority portion of pot bottom spaced from but adjacent and elevated with respect to the warming surface and heating the warming surface above ambient to form an air blanket about the pot through thermally induced air convection currents, selectively removing and cleaning the spacer, and selectively removing the pot from the warming surface and spacer and dispensing warm liquid (Figures 1-2; column 1, line 59-column 2, line 10, 26-58).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 4, 5, 9, 10, and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wall et al as applied to claims 1-3, 6-8, and 11 above, and further in view of Stoner (US4715269).

Wall et al discloses all of the recited subject matter except a combination beverage/coffee pot and warming surface, a pot retaining feature, an insignia, and a wedge thickness less than a pot retaining feature. Stoner discloses a combination beverage/coffee pot and warming surface (Figure 1) and pot retaining feature (51). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the spacer/wedge/means to tilt of Wall et al in the combination beverage/coffee pot of Stoner because, a spacer/wedge/means to tilt allows the

Art Unit: 3742

beverage/coffee to be heated while not in direct contact with the warming surface allowing for a longer lasting fresh taste.

While neither Wall et al nor Stoner discloses an insignia or specific wedge thickness, an insignia and wedge thickness is a matter of design choice and do not patentably distinguish the invention from the prior art.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SHAWNTINA FUQUA whose telephone number is (571)272-4779. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8-4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tu Hoang can be reached on (571) 272-4780. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,738

Page 5

Art Unit: 3742

stf

April 17, 2009

/TU B HOANG/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3742

/Shawntina Fuqua/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3742

/KAREN M. YOUNG/

Director, Technology Center 3700